EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 0 4 2006

101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 617.439.4141 fee 617.439.4170 capdlaw.com

Date: January 4, 2006 Pages (including cover): 5

Sender:

Name Steven M. Jensen

Fax 617,439,4170

Email siensen@

sjensen@eapdlaw.com

Phone

617.517.5531

To:

Fax

Phone

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

571-273-8300

If you experience problems receiving this fax, please call Michelle P. Chicos at 617.517.5551.

Message: Re: Our Docket No. 55807 (70904)

U.S. Serial No. 09/835,194

Please enter the attached response.

Fax

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this facsimile contain information from the law firm of Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP, which may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited, and that the documents should be returned to this firm immediately. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents to us at no cost to you.

BOSTON LET LAUDERDALE [HARTFORD | NEW YORK | PROVIDENCE | SHORT HILLS | STAMFORD | WEST FALM BEACH | WILMINGTON | LONIXOR

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 0 4 2006

Docket No. 55807 (70904)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

S. Okamoto et al.

U.S. SERIAL NO.:

09/835,194

GROUP:

2677

FILED:

April 13, 2001

EXAMINER: L. Shapiro

FOR:

IMAGE REPRODUCING METHOD, IMAGE DISPLAY APPARATUS

AND PICTURE SIGNAL COMPENSATION DEVICE

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office by facsimile number 571-273-8300 on January 4, 2006.

Steven M. Jensen

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Applicants are in receipt of the Office Action dated October 4, 2005 of the above-referenced application. Applicants respond to the Office Action as follows.

Claims 1, 3-9, 13, 15, 21, 22, 24-26, 28, 30, 34, 41, 42, 44-46, 48, and 50 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,546,134 to Lee. Claims 8, 22, 24-26, 30, 42, and 44-46 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of U.S. Patent 6,289,162 to Uehara et al. The remaining dependent claims were rejected on various combinations of prior art references. These rejections are respectfully traversed.



S. Okamoto et al. U.S. Serial No. 09/835,194 Page 2 of 4

On pages 2-3 of the previous Office Action of 04/12/2005, it was stated: "Lee does not show maximum output brightness of a pixel of the display apparatus varies in accordance with the average signal level and the image is reproduced so that the maximum output brightness becomes smaller as the average signal level increases" (emphasis added).

Independent claims 1, 22, and 42 each require the image to be reproduced so that "the maximum output brightness becomes smaller as the average signal level increases" (cmphasis added, see claim 1, line 13; claim 22, line 24; and claim 42, line 25).

However, in the present Office Action of 10/04/2005, the following portions of Lee were cited allegedly for teaching "the maximum output brightness becomes smaller as the average signal level increases": FIG. 3, item Y2; FIG. 4, item c; and column 3, lines 23-52 of Lee (see Office Action at page 3, first paragraph).

We note that Lee discloses three examples of signal processing in FIG. 3. Referring to FIG. 3, a signal conversion characteristic y1 is acquired when APL is in the range of 0 through m, a signal conversion characteristic y2 is acquired when APL is in the range of 1 through m, and a signal conversion characteristic y3 is acquired when APL is approximately 0.5. As to the input signal characteristics, column 3, lines 53-54 shows that y1(x)= $x^{0.5}$ and y2(x)= x^{2} . An input signal characteristic for y3 is represented by an equation which is divided by m=0.5 (median point of an input signal range), where an input characteristic is an inverse of an output characteristic, that is, y3_L(x)= x^{2} and y3_H(x)= $x^{0.5}$. When the characteristics are simply represented as above, y3 is discontinuous at m=0.5. To smooth this, as taught in column 4, it would be necessary to add a gain to the y3 function. An example of this gain is a coefficient of |(p-q)/p| (see expression (1) in Lee). According to expression (3) in Lee, a signal y3 is multiplied by a compensation coefficient and an amp coefficient based on nAPL.

S. Okamoto et al. U.S. Serial No. 09/835,194 Page 3 of 4

In contrast, the Applicants' invention teaches that a maximum output brightness $i_{max}(G)$ is adjusted according to an average input signal level. Since the average input signal level of brightness G is provided as a continuous conversion from a standardized level 0 to level 1, there are no divisions corresponding to areas of the levels. Moreover, as shown in FIGS. 1-3 of the application, the maximum output brightness $i_{max}(G)$ is independent of the gamma compensation part, and thereby different from the picture signal conversion method disclosed in Lee.

According to the Applicants' claimed invention, the maximum output brightness becomes smaller as the average signal level increases, and thus visibility can be improved in a dark portion of an entirely dark image (an image having low average brightness) while preventing whiteout and glare caused by an entirely bright image (an image having high average brightness), thus improving visibility in a bright portion. Consequently, both the entirely dark image and the entirely bright image can be reproduced as an image having superior visibility (see, e.g., specification at page 59, lines 4-14).

Applicants' further remarks in the response filed on February 4, 2004 are incorporated by reference herein.

Claims 1, 22, and 42 each require maximum output brightness of a pixel to be adjusted according to average signal level. In Lee, no maximum brightness adjustment is performed. Instead, Lee teaches that a range of average picture level is divided into a plurality of areas, sets a different input-output characteristic for each of the divided areas (see curves y1, y2, and y3 in FIG. 3), and controls the brightness and contrast of a video input signal according to the input-output characteristic of each area (see column 2, lines 14-23 of Lee).

For at least the reasons discussed above, Lee does not anticipate or otherwise render obvious, when taken in combination with Uehara, the Applicants' claimed invention.

S. Okamoto et al. U.S. Serial No. 09/835,194 Page 4 of 4

It is believed that the claims are now in condition for allowance. However, if there are any outstanding issues, the Examiner is urged to call the Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below.

Applicants believe that additional fees are not required for consideration of the within response. However, if for any reason a fee is required, a fee paid is inadequate or credit is owed for any excess fee paid, the Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge Deposit Account No. 04-1105.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 4, 2006

Steven M. Jensen (Reg. No. 42,693)

Edwards Angell Paimer & Dodge P.O. Box 55874 Boston, MA 02205

Phone: (617) 439-4444

Customer No. 21874